

**History 101 Latin American Revolutions Name:**  
**Primary Source Essay Source:**

Your assignment was to demonstrate your skills of historical interpretation analyzing a primary source account of the Mexican Revolution, and arguing how you think the author's depiction of the political and social climate during the revolutionary struggle should be understood in light of a modern scholar's research.

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Work	Comments
<b>Historical Interpretation (Thesis)</b>	Makes a strong, persuasive argument.	Makes a solid argument but speaks broadly.	Makes a weak argument.	Has an introduction but no argument.	
<b>Primary Source Analysis</b>	Thoughtful evaluation of how the passage reveals the author's point of view (POV), purpose, methods, & intended audience.	Clear & logical discussion of author's POV, purpose, methods, & audience.	Some discussion, but could be more detailed &/or demonstrate more critical thinking skills.	Insufficient attention to author's POV, intent, &/or methodology.	
<b>Use of Evidence</b>	Multiple relevant examples to support all claims.	Clear indication of the relationship between relevant evidence & main ideas.	Some uses of examples, but less clarity about how they fit together.	Essay lacks sufficient examples.	
<b>Analysis</b>	Rich synthesis of links between evidence & claims. Organizes & synthesizes evidence to reveal insightful patterns, differences, or similarities related to focus.	Discussion of links between evidence & claims. Some synthesis of evidence to reveal patterns, differences, or similarities related to focus.	Minimal discussion of links between evidence & claims. Superficial synthesis of evidence to reveal patterns, differences, or similarities related to focus.	Little to no discussion of links between evidence & claims. No synthesis of evidence to reveal patterns, differences, or similarities related to focus.	
<b>Student's Understanding</b>	Nuanced, balanced analysis of primary source & what it reveals.	Intro demonstrates clear insight into text. Balanced critique.	Competent use of written sources. Could consider more aspects of the text.	Descriptive use of undigested sources.	
<b>Links to Historical Context</b>	Multifaceted, compelling analysis making links to larger context. Insightful links to course themes.	Persuasive assessment well supported by specific examples. Clear links to course themes.	General assessment that could be strengthened by specific examples.	Broad assessment or judgment based on unsubstantiated personal opinion	

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Excellent</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Work</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>Engagement with Secondary Source (Historiography)</b>	Sophisticated engagement with scholarly interpretation of your theme.	Careful consideration of outside scholar's key points about your theme.	Clear summary of outside scholar's main ideas.	Minimal engagement with scholar's argument.	
<b>Conclusions</b>	States a conclusion that explores the larger historical significance & implications.	States a logical conclusion focused primarily on essay findings.	States a general conclusion that, because it is so broad, applies well beyond the scope of the essay.	States an ambiguous, illogical, or unsupported conclusion.	
<b>Writing</b>	Elegant writing. Clearly structured essay guided by thesis & topic sentences. No grammatical errors.	Somewhat clearly structured essay guided by thesis & topic sentences. No (or minimal) grammatical errors.	Some examples of poor sentence structure or other writing issues that make the essay less effective.	Communication of ideas undermined by writing issues.	
<b>Citations</b>	All sources correctly cited.	Only minor errors of citation.	Formatting problems but all sources indicated.	Inadequate citations.	

*Comments:*